Rapid Parallel Genome Indexing using MapReduce Rohith Menon, Goutham Bhat & Michael Schatz*

June 8, 2011 HPDC'11/MapReduce





Outline

- I. Brief Overview of DNA Sequencing
- 2. Genome Indexing
 - Serial, Basic MR, Optimized MR
- 3. Experimental Results

Molecular Biology & DNA Sequencing



Genome of an organism encodes the genetic information in long sequence of 4 DNA nucleotides: ACGT

- Bacteria: ~3 million bp
- Humans: ~3 billion bp



Current DNA sequencing machines can sequence billions of short (25-500bp) reads from random positions

- Per-base error rate estimated at I-2% (Simpson et al, 2009
- Requires smart systems to analyze the sequences



TCCAGTTCTAGAGTTTCACATGATC

GGAGTTAGTAAAAGTCCACATTGAG

Modern Biology requires Computational Biology

- Individual reads have very little information
- World-wide sequencing capacity exceeds 12Pbp/year

Personal Genomics

How does your genome compare to Craig's?



Accelerating Short Read Mapping

- Naïve Read Mapping is hopelessly slow
 - I billion 100bp reads x 3 billion positions
- Use an *index* to accelerate the search
 - Skip to "S" to lookup Schatz in the phonebook
 - No word boundaries in the genome, so consider every possible word/suffix
- The **Suffix Array** (Manber & Myers, 1991) is one of the most popular index structures
 - Lexicographically sorted list of suffixes
 - Fast binary search lookups: O(lg n) = 32 probes / read
 - Relatively space efficient: O(n lg n) = I 5GB / genome
 - Core index for Vmatch (http://www.vmatch.de/) and many other applications

Suffix array of "GATTACA\$"



Burrows-Wheeler Transform



- Suffix Array is tight, but much larger than genome
 - BWT is a reversible permutation of the genome based on the suffix array
 - Fast search and linear space requirements
 - Core index for Bowtie (Langmead *et al.*, 2009) and most recent short read mapping applications

A block sorting lossless data compression algorithm. Burrows M, Wheeler DJ (1994) *Digital Equipment Corporation, Palo Alto, CA* 1994, Technical Report 124

Index Construction

- Naïve Suffix Array Construction
 - $O(n^2 \lg n) = O(n \lg n)$ comparisons x O(n) per comparison
- Linear time Suffix Array Construction
 - Original: Construct suffix tree -> traverse tree (Weiner, 1973)
 - Recent: Difference Cover / DC3 (Karkkainen et al., 2006)
 - Intuition
 - O(I) to order suffixes a & b if we know order of a+I & b+I
 - Recursively order 2/3G to order remaining 1/3 in O(1)
- BWT trivially constructed from SA or from (slower) counting techniques
- The leading methods require *several hours* for each mammalian genome
 - Parallel methods not generally applied because of the requirement for very fast interconnect (Kulla *et al.*, 2007)



Indexing Challenges



Sequencing underway for great numbers of very large genomes





http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/File:Genome_Sizes.png

Basic Construction with MapReduce

Partition suffixes in lexicographically distinct bins, independently sort each bin



Optimizations

Hadoop Optimizations

- I. Shuffle "bare" indices to reduce shuffle volume
- 2. Use Sampling Partitioner to optimize load balance
 - Inspired by TotalOrderPartitioner from SortBenchmark.org
- 3. Run length encode bin boundaries to reduce size
 - AAA....AAAG => A:10000|G:1

See paper for gory details

Reducer Optimizations

- I. Recursive Bucket Sort using first p characters (p=15)
- 2. Precompute single nucleotide repeat length
 - Linear time sort of long simple repeats AAA....AAAG
 - Accelerate comparing simple repeats AAA...AAAGAAA...AAAC
- 3. Rank memoization (inspired by DC3 algorithm)
 - Use relative rank of suffixes a,b to accelerate comparison of a-d,b-d

Experimental Evaluation

http://code.google.com/p/genome-indexing

- Implementation
 - Java and JNI/C++
 - Genome in shared memory



- Testbed: Amazon EC2
 - High-Memory double extra large instances (\$1 / hour).
 - 4 HT cores @ 3.2 EC2 compute units
 - 34.2G RAM, 850G Disk
 - Hadoop 0.20.2, VM Image: AMI-6AA34003
 - Max cluster size: 21 (I master and 20 drones)

Genomes evaluated

Name	Genome	Build	Length (nt)
HG19	Human (Homo sapiens)	19	3,095,677,412
MM9	Mouse (Mus musculus)	9	2,654,895,218
BT4	Cow (Bos taurus)	4	2,634,413,324
GG3	Chicken (Gallus gallus)	3	1,031,883,471
0S1	Rice (Oryza sativa)	1	370,792,118



End-to-End Performance

- Evaluate performance using increasing numbers of cores
 - I5x speedup over Bowtie
 - 9x speedup over Vmatch
- Performance beyond 60 cores is limited by Hadoop overhead.
 - I20C cluster requires 398s to scan human genome using HashPartitioner and IdentityReducer to write unsorted list of suffixes



Reducer run time

- End-to-end runtime has substantial Hadoop overhead
- Meaure runtime of the reducer alone
 - Start: Index collection by reducer
 - End: SA written to local disk
- Reducer runtime improved performance through I20C



Genome Scaling Performance

- Evaluate performance using a fixed number of cores across the 5 genomes
- End-to-end runtime is ~linear with the size of the genome
 - Our performance optimizations are very effective on real genomes
 - 3Gbp Human genome takes ~9 minutes
 - Scaling to loblolly pine (24Gbp) should only take ~1hr 10 min



Hadoop for NGS Analysis



CloudBurst

Highly Sensitive Short Read Mapping with MapReduce

> 100x speedup mapping on 96 cores @ Amazon

http://cloudburst-bio.sf.net

(Schatz, 2009)

Crossbow

Searching for SNPs with Cloud Computing

Identify 3M SNPs in an afternoon



(Langmead, Schatz Lin, Pop, Salzberg, 2009)

http://bowtie-bio.sf.net/crossbow/



Quake

Quality-aware error correction of short reads

Correct 97.9% of errors with 99.9% accuracy

http://www.cbcb.umd.edu/software/quake/

(Kelley, Schatz, Salzberg, 2010)

Contrail

Assembly of Large Genomes Using Cloud Computing

Quickly assemble the human genome with hundreds of commodity cores



(Schatz et al. 2011*)

http://contrail-bio.sf.net/

Summary

- Staying afloat in the data deluge means computing in parallel
 - Hadoop + Cloud computing is an attractive platform for large scale sequence analysis and computation
- Our algorithm has substantially accelerated a critical problem in computational biology
 - Conceptually straightforward, but required careful algorithm analysis and engineering
 - Current performance limited by Hadoop

Future Work

- Integration with Bowtie, BWA
- Phased algorithm for low memory clusters, read indexing
- Continue development of MapReduce-enabled algorithms for biology

Acknowledgements



Rohith Menon



Goutham Bhat



Ben Langmead



Steve Skiena



Adam Phillippy



Thank You!

http://schatzlab.cshl.edu @mike_schatz